

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FEB 15 2008

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LUIS CARLOS LUCERO MORAN; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 07-73420

Agency Nos. A79-540-707 A79-540-708

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 11, 2008**

Before: WALLACE, LEAVY and RYMER, Circuit Judges.

This is a petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA")

August 3, 2007 decision denying petitioners' untimely motion to reconsider.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

07-73420

We have reviewed the record, respondent's motion for summary disposition and the opposition thereto. We conclude that summary disposition is appropriate because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard). The regulations provide that a motion to reconsider "must be filed with the Board within 30 days after the mailing of the Board decision." 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(b)(2). The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying petitioners' motion to reconsider, filed nearly 8 months after the BIA's August 24, 2006 decision. *See Lara-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 383 F.3d 968, 972 (9th Cir. 2004) (holding that the BIA's denial of a motion to reconsider is reviewed for abuse of discretion). Accordingly, respondent's motion for summary disposition is granted.

The motion for a stay of voluntary departure, filed after the departure period had expired, is denied. *See Garcia v. Ashcroft*, 368 F.3d 1157 (9th Cir. 2004).

All other pending motions are denied as moot.

The temporary stay of removal shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.